The Two-Sample t-Test Mathematics 47: Lecture 30

Dan Sloughter

Furman University

May 4, 2006

Dan Sloughter (Furman University)

3 May 4, 2006 1 / 10

-

▲ @ ▶ < ≥ ▶</p>

Suppose X₁, X₂, ..., X_n and Y₁, Y₂, ..., Y_m are independent random samples from N(μ_X, σ²) and N(μ_Y, σ²), respectively.

- Suppose X₁, X₂, ..., X_n and Y₁, Y₂, ..., Y_m are independent random samples from N(μ_X, σ²) and N(μ_Y, σ²), respectively.
- Suppose we wish to test the hypotheses

 $H_0: \mu_X = \mu_Y$ $H_A: \mu_X > \mu_Y.$

Suppose X₁, X₂, ..., X_n and Y₁, Y₂, ..., Y_m are independent random samples from N(μ_X, σ²) and N(μ_Y, σ²), respectively.

Suppose we wish to test the hypotheses

$$H_0: \mu_X = \mu_Y$$
$$H_A: \mu_X > \mu_Y.$$

• If H_0 is true, and

then

$$S_{p}^{2} = \frac{(n-1)S_{X}^{2} + (m-1)S_{Y}^{2}}{n+m-2},$$
$$T = \frac{\bar{X} - \bar{Y}}{S_{p}\sqrt{\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m}}}$$

has a *t*-distribution with n + m - 2 degrees of freedom.

- Suppose X₁, X₂, ..., X_n and Y₁, Y₂, ..., Y_m are independent random samples from N(μ_X, σ²) and N(μ_Y, σ²), respectively.
- Suppose we wish to test the hypotheses

$$H_0: \mu_X = \mu_Y$$
$$H_A: \mu_X > \mu_Y.$$

If H₀ is true, and

$$S_p^2 = rac{(n-1)S_X^2 + (m-1)S_Y^2}{n+m-2},$$

then

$$T = \frac{\bar{X} - \bar{Y}}{S_p \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m}}}$$

has a *t*-distribution with n + m - 2 degrees of freedom.

For an observed value t of T, the p-value of the test is P(T ≥ t | H₀), with appropriate variations for other alternative hypotheses.

Dan Sloughter (Furman University)

 In 1861, ten essays by Quintus Curtius Snodgrass appeared in the New Orleans Crescent. People have wondered whether Snodgrass was really Mark Twain.

- In 1861, ten essays by Quintus Curtius Snodgrass appeared in the New Orleans Crescent. People have wondered whether Snodgrass was really Mark Twain.
- To test this hypothesis, eight essays known to have been written by Twain around 1861 were studied. In particular, the proportion of three letter words in these eight essays were found to be 0.225, 0.262, 0.217, 0.240, 0.230, 0.229, 0.235, and 0.217.

- In 1861, ten essays by Quintus Curtius Snodgrass appeared in the New Orleans Crescent. People have wondered whether Snodgrass was really Mark Twain.
- To test this hypothesis, eight essays known to have been written by Twain around 1861 were studied. In particular, the proportion of three letter words in these eight essays were found to be 0.225, 0.262, 0.217, 0.240, 0.230, 0.229, 0.235, and 0.217.
- We will assume these data are from $N(\mu_X, \sigma^2)$.

- In 1861, ten essays by Quintus Curtius Snodgrass appeared in the New Orleans Crescent. People have wondered whether Snodgrass was really Mark Twain.
- To test this hypothesis, eight essays known to have been written by Twain around 1861 were studied. In particular, the proportion of three letter words in these eight essays were found to be 0.225, 0.262, 0.217, 0.240, 0.230, 0.229, 0.235, and 0.217.
- We will assume these data are from $N(\mu_X, \sigma^2)$.
- The proportion of three letter words in the Snodgrass essays were found to be 0.209, 0.205, 0.196, 0.210, 0.202, 0.207, 0.224, 0.223, 0.220, and 0.201, which we assume to be from N(μ_Y, σ²).

- In 1861, ten essays by Quintus Curtius Snodgrass appeared in the New Orleans Crescent. People have wondered whether Snodgrass was really Mark Twain.
- To test this hypothesis, eight essays known to have been written by Twain around 1861 were studied. In particular, the proportion of three letter words in these eight essays were found to be 0.225, 0.262, 0.217, 0.240, 0.230, 0.229, 0.235, and 0.217.
- We will assume these data are from $N(\mu_X, \sigma^2)$.
- The proportion of three letter words in the Snodgrass essays were found to be 0.209, 0.205, 0.196, 0.210, 0.202, 0.207, 0.224, 0.223, 0.220, and 0.201, which we assume to be from N(μ_Y, σ²).
- We wish to test

 $H_0: \mu_X = \mu_Y$ $H_A: \mu_X \neq \mu_Y,$

Dan Sloughter	(Furman Universit	y
---------------	-------------------	---

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

• We compute $\bar{x} = 0.2319$, $s_{\chi}^2 = 0.0002121$, $\bar{y} = 0.2097$, $s_{Y}^2 = 0.00009334$, and

$$s_p^2 = rac{7s_X^2 + 9s_Y^2}{16} = 0.0001453.$$

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・

▶ We compute $\bar{x} = 0.2319$, $s_X^2 = 0.0002121$, $\bar{y} = 0.2097$, $s_Y^2 = 0.00009334$, and

$$s_p^2 = rac{7s_X^2 + 9s_Y^2}{16} = 0.0001453.$$

Hence the observed value of T is

$$t = \frac{0.2319 - 0.2097}{0.01205\sqrt{\frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{10}}} = 3.884.$$

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・

▶ We compute $\bar{x} = 0.2319$, $s_X^2 = 0.0002121$, $\bar{y} = 0.2097$, $s_Y^2 = 0.00009334$, and

$$s_p^2 = rac{7s_X^2 + 9s_Y^2}{16} = 0.0001453.$$

Hence the observed value of T is

$$t = \frac{0.2319 - 0.2097}{0.01205\sqrt{\frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{10}}} = 3.884.$$

▶ The *p*-value for the test is 2(0.000658722) = 0.00131744.

(a)

▶ We compute $\bar{x} = 0.2319$, $s_X^2 = 0.0002121$, $\bar{y} = 0.2097$, $s_Y^2 = 0.00009334$, and

$$s_p^2 = rac{7s_X^2 + 9s_Y^2}{16} = 0.0001453.$$

Hence the observed value of T is

$$t = \frac{0.2319 - 0.2097}{0.01205\sqrt{\frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{10}}} = 3.884.$$

• The *p*-value for the test is 2(0.000658722) = 0.00131744.

▶ Thus we have strong evidence for rejecting *H*₀.

(a)

▶ We compute $\bar{x} = 0.2319$, $s_X^2 = 0.0002121$, $\bar{y} = 0.2097$, $s_Y^2 = 0.00009334$, and

$$s_p^2 = rac{7s_X^2 + 9s_Y^2}{16} = 0.0001453.$$

Hence the observed value of T is

$$t = \frac{0.2319 - 0.2097}{0.01205\sqrt{\frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{10}}} = 3.884.$$

- ▶ The *p*-value for the test is 2(0.000658722) = 0.00131744.
- Thus we have strong evidence for rejecting H_0 .
- The R command > t.test(x,y,var.equal=TRUE) will perform the above analysis if the data are in the vectors x and y.

(日) (同) (三) (三)

Definition (The F-distribution)

If U and V are independent random variables with distributions $\chi^2(m)$ and $\chi^2(n)$, respectively, then we call the distribution of

$$\overline{v} = \frac{U}{\frac{m}{N}}$$

an *F*-distribution with m and n degrees of freedom, which we denote F(m, n).

• If X is F(m, n), then $\frac{1}{X}$ is F(n, m).

< A > < 3

- If X is F(m, n), then $\frac{1}{X}$ is F(n, m).
- We let $F_{\alpha,m,n}$ denote the α -quantile of F(m,n).

- If X is F(m, n), then $\frac{1}{X}$ is F(n, m).
- We let $F_{\alpha,m,n}$ denote the α -quantile of F(m, n).
- If X is F(m, n), then $P(X \leq F_{\alpha,m,n}) = \alpha$, and so

$$P\left(\frac{1}{X} \ge \frac{1}{F_{\alpha,m,n}}\right) = \alpha$$

- If X is F(m, n), then $\frac{1}{X}$ is F(n, m).
- We let $F_{\alpha,m,n}$ denote the α -quantile of F(m,n).
- ▶ If X is F(m, n), then $P(X \le F_{\alpha,m,n}) = \alpha$, and so

$$P\left(\frac{1}{X} \geq \frac{1}{F_{\alpha,m,n}}\right) = \alpha.$$

• Hence $\frac{1}{F_{\alpha,m,n}} = F_{1-\alpha,n,m}$.

- If X is F(m, n), then $\frac{1}{X}$ is F(n, m).
- We let $F_{\alpha,m,n}$ denote the α -quantile of F(m,n).
- ▶ If X is F(m, n), then $P(X \le F_{\alpha,m,n}) = \alpha$, and so

$$P\left(\frac{1}{X} \ge \frac{1}{F_{\alpha,m,n}}\right) = \alpha.$$

▶ Hence $\frac{1}{F_{\alpha,m,n}} = F_{1-\alpha,n,m}$.
▶ Example: From Table VIIIb, $F_{0.95,4,7} = 4.12$; hence

$$F_{0.05,7,4} = \frac{1}{4.12} = 0.243.$$

- If X is F(m, n), then $\frac{1}{X}$ is F(n, m).
- We let $F_{\alpha,m,n}$ denote the α -quantile of F(m, n).
- ▶ If X is F(m, n), then $P(X \le F_{\alpha,m,n}) = \alpha$, and so

$$P\left(\frac{1}{X} \geq \frac{1}{F_{\alpha,m,n}}\right) = \alpha.$$

▶ Hence $\frac{1}{F_{\alpha,m,n}} = F_{1-\alpha,n,m}$.
▶ Example: From Table VIIIb, $F_{0.95,4,7} = 4.12$; hence

$$F_{0.05,7,4} = \frac{1}{4.12} = 0.243.$$

► Using the *R* commands > qf(0.95,4,7) and > qf(0.05,7,4), respectively, we find *F*_{0.95,4,7} = 4.120312 and *F*_{.05,7,4} = 0.2427001.

- If X is F(m, n), then $\frac{1}{X}$ is F(n, m).
- We let $F_{\alpha,m,n}$ denote the α -quantile of F(m, n).
- ▶ If X is F(m, n), then $P(X \le F_{\alpha,m,n}) = \alpha$, and so

$$P\left(\frac{1}{X} \geq \frac{1}{F_{\alpha,m,n}}\right) = \alpha.$$

$$F_{0.05,7,4} = \frac{1}{4.12} = 0.243.$$

- ▶ Using the *R* commands > qf(0.95,4,7) and > qf(0.05,7,4), respectively, we find $F_{0.95,4,7} = 4.120312$ and $F_{.05,7,4} = 0.2427001$.
- It may be shown that, if X is F(m, n), then

$$E[X] = \frac{n}{n-2}.$$

Graph of the density of F(4,7)



▶ ▲ ≣ ▶ . 量 ∽ ९ ୯ May 4, 2006 7 / 10

Comparing variances

Suppose X₁, X₂, ..., X_n and Y₁, Y₂, ..., Y_m are independent random samples from N(μ_X, σ²_X) and N(μ_Y, σ²_Y), respectively.

Comparing variances

Suppose X₁, X₂, ..., X_n and Y₁, Y₂, ..., Y_m are independent random samples from N(μ_X, σ²_X) and N(μ_Y, σ²_Y), respectively.
Then (n-1)S²_X/σ²_v is χ²(n-1) and (m-1)S²_Y/σ²_v is χ²(m-1).

Comparing variances

Suppose X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n and Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_m are independent random samples from $N(\mu_X, \sigma_X^2)$ and $N(\mu_Y, \sigma_Y^2)$, respectively. ▶ Then $\frac{(n-1)S_{\chi}^2}{\sigma_{\omega}^2}$ is $\chi^2(n-1)$ and $\frac{(m-1)S_{\chi}^2}{\sigma_{\omega}^2}$ is $\chi^2(m-1)$. So $(n-1)S_{2}^{2}$ $\frac{2X}{2}$

$$\frac{\overline{(n-1)\sigma_X^2}}{\overline{(m-1)S_Y^2}} = \frac{\sigma_Y^2 S}{\sigma_X^2 S}$$

is F(n-1, m-1).

Suppose we wish to test

$$H_0: \sigma_X^2 = \sigma_Y^2$$
$$H_A: \sigma_X^2 > \sigma_Y^2.$$

-

Image: A math a math

Suppose we wish to test

$$\begin{aligned} H_0 : \sigma_X^2 &= \sigma_Y^2 \\ H_A : \sigma_X^2 &> \sigma_Y^2. \end{aligned}$$

• If we let
$$F = \frac{S_X^2}{S_Y^2}$$
, then, under H_0 , F is $F(n-1, m-1)$.

< A

▶ ∢ ∃ ▶

Suppose we wish to test

$$H_0: \sigma_X^2 = \sigma_Y^2$$
$$H_A: \sigma_X^2 > \sigma_Y^2.$$

• If we let $F = \frac{S_X^2}{S_v^2}$, then, under H_0 , F is F(n-1, m-1).

► Hence we should reject H₀ when we observe large values f of F, with p-value P(F ≥ f | H₀).

Suppose we wish to test

$$H_0: \sigma_X^2 = \sigma_Y^2$$
$$H_A: \sigma_X^2 > \sigma_Y^2.$$

• If we let $F = \frac{S_X^2}{S_V^2}$, then, under H_0 , F is F(n-1, m-1).

- ► Hence we should reject H₀ when we observe large values f of F, with p-value P(F ≥ f | H₀).
- ► To test H_A: σ²_X < σ²_Y, we reject H₀ for small observed values f of F, in which case the p-value of the test is P(F ≤ f | H₀).

Suppose we wish to test

$$H_0: \sigma_X^2 = \sigma_Y^2$$
$$H_A: \sigma_X^2 > \sigma_Y^2.$$

• If we let $F = \frac{S_X^2}{S_V^2}$, then, under H_0 , F is F(n-1, m-1).

- ► Hence we should reject H₀ when we observe large values f of F, with p-value P(F ≥ f | H₀).
- ► To test H_A: σ²_X < σ²_Y, we reject H₀ for small observed values f of F, in which case the p-value of the test is P(F ≤ f | H₀).
- For the two-sided alternative H_A : σ²_X ≠ σ²_Y, we double the appropriate one-sided *p*-value.

Dan Sloughter (Furman University)

The Two-Sample t-Test

▶ < 돌 ▶ 돌 ∽ < < May 4, 2006 10 / 10

ヘロン 人間 とくほと くほど

For our previous example, suppose the sample from Twain's writings is from $N(\mu_X, \sigma_X^2)$ and the sample from the writings of Snodgrass is from $N(\mu_Y, \sigma_Y^2)$.

< 🗇 🕨

- For our previous example, suppose the sample from Twain's writings is from $N(\mu_X, \sigma_X^2)$ and the sample from the writings of Snodgrass is from $N(\mu_Y, \sigma_Y^2)$.
- Suppose we wish to test

$$H_0: \sigma_X^2 = \sigma_Y^2$$
$$H_A: \sigma_X^2 \neq \sigma_Y^2$$

< A

- For our previous example, suppose the sample from Twain's writings is from $N(\mu_X, \sigma_X^2)$ and the sample from the writings of Snodgrass is from $N(\mu_Y, \sigma_Y^2)$.
- Suppose we wish to test

$$H_0: \sigma_X^2 = \sigma_Y^2$$
$$H_A: \sigma_X^2 \neq \sigma_Y^2.$$

We compute

$$f = \frac{s_X^2}{s_Y^2} = \frac{0.0002121}{0.00009334} = 2.2723.$$

< 🗗

< 3

- For our previous example, suppose the sample from Twain's writings is from $N(\mu_X, \sigma_X^2)$ and the sample from the writings of Snodgrass is from $N(\mu_Y, \sigma_Y^2)$.
- Suppose we wish to test

$$H_0: \sigma_X^2 = \sigma_Y^2$$
$$H_A: \sigma_X^2 \neq \sigma_Y^2.$$

We compute

$$f = \frac{s_X^2}{s_Y^2} = \frac{0.0002121}{0.00009334} = 2.2723.$$

► Using F(7,9), this gives a p-value of 2(0.1250608) = 0.2501216, giving us no evidence for rejecting H₀.

- For our previous example, suppose the sample from Twain's writings is from $N(\mu_X, \sigma_X^2)$ and the sample from the writings of Snodgrass is from $N(\mu_Y, \sigma_Y^2)$.
- Suppose we wish to test

$$H_0: \sigma_X^2 = \sigma_Y^2$$
$$H_A: \sigma_X^2 \neq \sigma_Y^2.$$

We compute

$$f = \frac{s_X^2}{s_Y^2} = \frac{0.0002121}{0.00009334} = 2.2723.$$

- ► Using F(7,9), this gives a p-value of 2(0.1250608) = 0.2501216, giving us no evidence for rejecting H₀.
- Note: If the data are in the vectors x and y, the R command > var.test(x,y) will perform the above analysis.