## Lecture 19: The Integral

### 19.1 Upper and lower integrals

Definition Given a closed interval $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$, any finite subset of $[a, b]$ which includes both $a$ and $b$ is called a partition of $[a, b]$.

For convenience, whenever we consider a partition $P$ of an interval $[a, b]$ with $|P|=$ $n+1$, we will index the elements in increasing order, starting with 0 . That is, if $P=$ $\left\{x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$, then

$$
a=x_{0}<x_{1}<x_{2}<\cdots<x_{n}=b .
$$

Definition Suppose $P=\left\{x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ is a partition of $[a, b]$ and $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is bounded. For $i=1,2, \ldots, n$, let

$$
m_{i}=\inf \left\{f(x): x_{i-1} \leq x \leq x_{i}\right\}
$$

and

$$
M_{i}=\sup \left\{f(x): x_{i-1} \leq x \leq x_{i}\right\} .
$$

We call

$$
L(f, P)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} m_{i}\left(x_{i}-x_{i-1}\right)
$$

the lower sum of $f$ determined by $P$ and

$$
U(f, P)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} M_{i}\left(x_{i}-x_{i-1}\right)
$$

the upper sum of $f$ determined by $P$.
Definition If $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ are both partitions of $[a, b]$ and $P_{1} \subset P_{2}$, then we call $P_{2}$ a refinement of $P_{1}$.

Definition If $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ are both partitions of $[a, b]$, then the partition $P=P_{1} \cup P_{2}$ is called the common refinement of $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$.

Lemma Suppose $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is bounded and $P_{1}=\left\{x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ is a partition of $[a, b]$. Moreover, suppose $s \in(a, b)$ with $s \notin P_{1}$. If $P_{2}=P_{1} \cup\{s\}$, then $L\left(f, P_{1}\right) \leq L\left(f, P_{2}\right)$ and $U\left(f, P_{2}\right) \leq U\left(f, P_{1}\right)$.

Proof Suppose $x_{i-1}<s<x_{i}$ and let

$$
\begin{aligned}
w_{1} & =\inf \left\{f(x): x_{i-1} \leq x \leq s\right\}, \\
W_{1} & =\sup \left\{f(x): x_{i-1} \leq x \leq s\right\}, \\
w_{2} & =\inf \left\{f(x): s \leq x \leq x_{i}\right\}, \\
W_{2} & =\sup \left\{f(x): s \leq x \leq x_{i}\right\}, \\
m_{i} & =\inf \left\{f(x): x_{i-1} \leq x \leq x_{i}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
M_{i}=\sup \left\{f(x): x_{i-1} \leq x \leq x_{i}\right\} .
$$

Then $w_{1} \geq m_{i}, w_{2} \geq m_{i}, W_{1} \leq M_{i}$, and $W_{2} \leq M_{i}$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
L\left(f, P_{2}\right)-L\left(f, P_{1}\right) & =w_{1}\left(s-x_{i-1}\right)+w_{2}\left(x_{i}-s\right)-m_{i}\left(x_{i}-x_{i-1}\right) \\
& =w_{1}\left(s-x_{i-1}\right)+w_{2}\left(x_{i}-s\right)-m_{i}\left(s-x_{i-1}\right)-m_{i}\left(x_{i}-s\right) \\
& =\left(w_{1}-m_{i}\right)\left(s-x_{i-1}\right)+\left(w_{2}-m_{i}\right)\left(x_{i}-s\right) \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
U\left(f, P_{1}\right)-U\left(f, P_{2}\right) & =M_{i}\left(x_{i}-x_{i-1}\right)-W_{1}\left(s-x_{i-1}\right)-W_{2}\left(x_{i}-s\right) \\
& =M_{i}\left(s-x_{i-1}\right)+m_{i}\left(x_{i}-s\right)-W_{1}\left(s-x_{i-1}\right)-W_{2}\left(x_{i}-s\right) \\
& =\left(M_{i}-W_{1}\right)\left(s-x_{i-1}\right)+\left(M_{i}-W_{2}\right)\left(x_{i}-s\right) \geq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $L\left(f, P_{1}\right) \leq L\left(f, P_{2}\right)$ and $U\left(f, P_{2}\right) \leq U\left(f, P_{1}\right)$.
Proposition Suppose $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is bounded and $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ are partitions of $[a, b]$. If $P_{2}$ is a refinement of $P_{1}$, then $L\left(f, P_{1}\right) \leq L\left(f, P_{2}\right)$ and $U\left(f, P_{2}\right) \leq U\left(f, P_{1}\right)$.

Proof The proposition follows immediately from repeated use of the previous lemma.
Proposition Suppose $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is bounded and $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ are partitions of $[a, b]$. Then $L\left(f, P_{1}\right) \leq U\left(f, P_{2}\right)$.
Proof The result follows immediately from the definitions if $P_{1}=P_{2}$. Otherwise, let $P$ be the common refinement of $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$. Then

$$
L\left(f, P_{1}\right) \leq L(f, P) \leq U(f, P) \leq U\left(f, P_{2}\right)
$$

Definition Suppose $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is bounded. We call

$$
\underline{\int_{a}^{b}} f=\sup \{L(f, P): P \text { is a partition of }[a, b]\}
$$

the lower integral of $f$ over $[a, b]$ and

$$
\overline{\int_{a}^{b}} f=\inf \{U(f, P): P \text { is a partition of }[a, b]\}
$$

the upper integral of $f$ over $[a, b]$.
Note that both the lower sum and the upper sum are finite real numbers since the lower sums are all bounded above by any upper sum and the upper sums are all bounded below by any lower sum.

Proposition Suppose $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is bounded. Then

$$
\underline{\int_{a}^{b}} f \leq \overline{\int_{a}^{b}} f
$$

Proof Let $P$ be a partition of $[a, b]$. Then for any partition $Q$ of $[a, b]$, we have $L(f, Q) \leq$ $U(f, P)$. Hence $U(f, P)$ is an upper bound for any lower sum, and so

$$
\underline{\int_{a}^{b}} f \leq U(f, P)
$$

But this shows that the lower integral is a lower bound for any upper sum. Hence

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f \leq \overline{\int_{a}^{b}} f
$$

### 19.2 Integrals

Definition Suppose $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is bounded. We say $f$ is integrable on $[a, b]$ if

$$
\underline{\int_{a}^{b}} f=\overline{\int_{a}^{b}} f
$$

If $f$ is integrable, we call the common value of the upper and lower integrals the integral of $f$ over $[a, b]$, denoted

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f
$$

That is, if $f$ is integrable on $[a, b]$,

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f=\underline{\int_{a}^{b}} f=\overline{\int_{a}^{b}} f
$$

Example Define $f:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
f(x)= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } x \in \mathbb{Q} \\ 0, & \text { if } x \notin \mathbb{Q}\end{cases}
$$

For any partition $P=\left\{x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$, we have

$$
L(f, P)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} 0\left(x_{i}-x_{i-1}\right)=0
$$

and

$$
U(f, P)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-x_{i-1}\right)=x_{n}-x_{0}=1 .
$$

Thus

$$
\underline{\int_{0}^{1}} f=0
$$

and

$$
\overline{\int_{0}^{1}} f=1
$$

Hence $f$ is not integrable on $[0,1]$.
Example Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and define $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $f(x)=\alpha$ for all $x \in[a, b]$. For any partition $P=\left\{x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$, we have

$$
L(f, P)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha\left(x_{i}-x_{i-1}\right)=\alpha\left(x_{n}-x_{0}\right)=\alpha(b-a)
$$

and

$$
U(f, P)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha\left(x_{i}-x_{i-1}\right)=\alpha\left(x_{n}-x_{0}\right)=\alpha(b-a) .
$$

Thus

$$
\underline{\int_{a}^{b}} f=\alpha(b-a)
$$

and

$$
\overline{\int_{a}^{b}} f=\alpha(b-a) .
$$

Hence $f$ is integrable on $[a, b]$ and

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f=\alpha(b-a)
$$

Theorem Suppose $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is bounded. Then $f$ is integrable on $[a, b]$ if and only if for every $\epsilon>0$ there exists a partition $P$ of $[a, b]$ such that

$$
U(f, P)-L(f, P)<\epsilon .
$$

Proof If $f$ is integrable on $[a, b]$ and $\epsilon>0$, then we may choose partitions $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ such that

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f-L\left(f, P_{1}\right)<\frac{\epsilon}{2}
$$

and

$$
U\left(f, P_{2}\right)-\int_{a}^{b} f<\frac{\epsilon}{2}
$$

Let $P$ be the common refinement of $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$. Then
$U(f, P)-L(f, P) \leq U\left(f, P_{2}\right)-L\left(f, P_{1}\right)=\left(U\left(f, P_{2}\right)-\int_{a}^{b} f\right)+\left(\int_{a}^{b} f-L\left(f, P_{1}\right)\right)<\frac{\epsilon}{2}+\frac{\epsilon}{2}=\epsilon$.
Now suppose for every $\epsilon>0$ there exists a partition $P$ of $[a, b]$ such that

$$
U(f, P)-L(f, P)<\epsilon .
$$

Suppose

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f<\overline{\int_{a}^{b}} f
$$

If

$$
\epsilon=\overline{\int_{a}^{b}} f-\underline{\int_{a}^{b}} f
$$

then for any partition $P$ of $[a, b]$ we have

$$
U(f, P)-L(f, P) \geq \overline{\int_{a}^{b}} f-\underline{\int_{a}^{b}} f=\epsilon .
$$

Since this contradicts our assumption, we must have

$$
\underline{\int_{a}^{b}} f=\overline{\int_{a}^{b}} f
$$

That is, $f$ is integrable on $[a, b]$.
Example $\quad$ Suppose $f:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$
f(x)= \begin{cases}0, & x \neq \frac{1}{2} \\ 1, & x=\frac{1}{2}\end{cases}
$$

If $P$ is a partition of $[0,1]$, then clearly $L(f, P)=0$. Given $\epsilon>0$, let

$$
P=\left\{0, \frac{1}{2}-\frac{\epsilon}{4}, \frac{1}{2}+\frac{\epsilon}{4}, 1\right\} .
$$

Then

$$
U(f, P)=\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\epsilon}{4}\right)-\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\epsilon}{4}\right)=\frac{\epsilon}{2}<\epsilon .
$$

Hence $U(f, P)-L(f, P)<\epsilon$, so $f$ is integrable on $[0,1]$. Moreover,

$$
\int_{0}^{1} f=0
$$

## Exercise 19.2.1

For $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$let $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}$ be points in $(0,1)$. Define $f:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
f(x)= \begin{cases}1, & x=a_{i} \text { for some } i \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Show that $\int_{0}^{1} f=0$.

## Exercise 19.2.2

Define $f:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
f(x)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{q}, & \text { if } x \text { is rational and } x=\frac{p}{q} \\ 0, & \text { if } x \text { is irrational, }\end{cases}
$$

where $p$ and $q$ are taken to be relatively prime integers with $q>0$, and we take $q=1$ when $x=0$. Show that $\int_{0}^{1} f=0$.

## Exercise 19.2.3

Let $f:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $f(x)=x$ and, for $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$, let $P=\left\{x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ be the partition of $[0,1]$ with

$$
x_{i}=\frac{i}{n}, i=0,1, \ldots, n .
$$

Show that

$$
U(f, P)-L(f, P)=\frac{1}{n}
$$

and hence conclude that $f$ is integrable on $[0,1]$. Show that

$$
\int_{0}^{1} f=\frac{1}{2}
$$

Exercise 19.2.4
Define $f:[1,2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
f(x)= \begin{cases}x, & \text { if } x \in \mathbb{Q}, \\ 0, & \text { if } x \notin \mathbb{Q} .\end{cases}
$$

Show that $f$ is not integrable on $[1,2]$.

## Exercise 19.2.5

Suppose $f$ is integrable on $[a, b]$ and $f(x) \geq m$ for all $x \in[a, b]$ and $f(x) \leq M$ for all $x \in[a, b]$. Show that

$$
m(b-a) \leq \int_{a}^{b} f \leq M(b-a)
$$

### 19.3 Notation and terminology

The definition of the integral given in this section is due to Darboux. It may be shown to be equivalent to the integral defined by Riemann. Hence functions that are integrable in the sense of this lecture are referred to as Riemann integrable functions and the integral is called the Riemann integral. This is in distinction to the Lebesgue integral, part of a more general theory of integration.

The integral of this lecture is also called the definite integral, as opposed to an indefinite integral, the latter being a name given to an antiderivative (a function whose derivative is equal to a given function).

If $f$ is integrable on $[a, b]$, then we will also denote

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f
$$

by

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x
$$

The variable $x$ in the latter is a "dummy" variable; we might just as well write

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t
$$

or

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f(s) d s
$$

For example, if $f:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by $f(x)=x^{2}$, then

$$
\int_{0}^{1} f=\int_{0}^{1} x^{2} d x=\int_{0}^{1} t^{2} d t
$$

