

# Mathematics of a Lady Tasting Tea

## Mathematics 15: Lecture 19

Dan Sloughter

Furman University

November 2, 2006

# Ronald Aylmer Fisher

▶ 1890 - 1962

# Ronald Aylmer Fisher

- ▶ 1890 - 1962
- ▶ Two classic books on statistics: *Statistical Methods for Research Workers*, first published in 1925, and *The Design of Experiments*, first published in 1935

# Ronald Aylmer Fisher

- ▶ 1890 - 1962
- ▶ Two classic books on statistics: *Statistical Methods for Research Workers*, first published in 1925, and *The Design of Experiments*, first published in 1935
- ▶ Equally famous as a geneticist (for example, the text *The Genetical Theory of Natural Selection*)

# Ronald Aylmer Fisher

- ▶ 1890 - 1962
- ▶ Two classic books on statistics: *Statistical Methods for Research Workers*, first published in 1925, and *The Design of Experiments*, first published in 1935
- ▶ Equally famous as a geneticist (for example, the text *The Genetical Theory of Natural Selection*)
- ▶ Refused a prestigious position in London to pursue statistical problems in agriculture at Rothamsted, where he developed, among many other fundamental notions of modern statistics, the theory of randomized experimental design

# The experiment

- ▶ Given a cup of tea with milk, a lady claims she can discriminate as to whether milk or tea was first added to the cup.

# The experiment

- ▶ Given a cup of tea with milk, a lady claims she can discriminate as to whether milk or tea was first added to the cup.
- ▶ To test her claim, eight cups of tea are prepared, four of which have the milk added first and four of which have the tea added first.

# The experiment

- ▶ Given a cup of tea with milk, a lady claims she can discriminate as to whether milk or tea was first added to the cup.
- ▶ To test her claim, eight cups of tea are prepared, four of which have the milk added first and four of which have the tea added first.
- ▶ Question: How many cups does she have to correctly identify to convince us of her ability?



# Choosing subsets

- ▶ There are  $8 \times 7 \times 6 \times 5 = 1680$  ways to choose a first cup, a second cup, a third cup, and a fourth cup, in order.

# Choosing subsets

- ▶ There are  $8 \times 7 \times 6 \times 5 = 1680$  ways to choose a first cup, a second cup, a third cup, and a fourth cup, in order.
- ▶ There are  $4 \times 3 \times 2 \times 1 = 24$  ways to order four cups.

## Choosing subsets

- ▶ There are  $8 \times 7 \times 6 \times 5 = 1680$  ways to choose a first cup, a second cup, a third cup, and a fourth cup, in order.
- ▶ There are  $4 \times 3 \times 2 \times 1 = 24$  ways to order four cups.
- ▶ So the number of ways to choose 4 cups out of 8 is

$$\frac{1680}{24} = 70.$$

## Choosing subsets

- ▶ There are  $8 \times 7 \times 6 \times 5 = 1680$  ways to choose a first cup, a second cup, a third cup, and a fourth cup, in order.
- ▶ There are  $4 \times 3 \times 2 \times 1 = 24$  ways to order four cups.
- ▶ So the number of ways to choose 4 cups out of 8 is

$$\frac{1680}{24} = 70.$$

- ▶ Note: the lady performs the experiment by selecting 4 cups, say, the ones she claims to have had the tea poured first.

## Choosing subsets

- ▶ There are  $8 \times 7 \times 6 \times 5 = 1680$  ways to choose a first cup, a second cup, a third cup, and a fourth cup, in order.
- ▶ There are  $4 \times 3 \times 2 \times 1 = 24$  ways to order four cups.
- ▶ So the number of ways to choose 4 cups out of 8 is

$$\frac{1680}{24} = 70.$$

- ▶ Note: the lady performs the experiment by selecting 4 cups, say, the ones she claims to have had the tea poured first.
- ▶ For example, the probability that she would correctly identify all 4 cups is  $\frac{1}{70}$ .

# Choosing 3

- ▶ To get exactly 3 right, and, hence, 1 wrong, she would first have to choose 3 from the 4 correct ones.

# Choosing 3

- ▶ To get exactly 3 right, and, hence, 1 wrong, she would first have to choose 3 from the 4 correct ones.
  - ▶ She can do this  $4 \times 3 \times 2 = 24$  ways with order.

# Choosing 3

- ▶ To get exactly 3 right, and, hence, 1 wrong, she would first have to choose 3 from the 4 correct ones.
  - ▶ She can do this  $4 \times 3 \times 2 = 24$  ways with order.
  - ▶ Since 3 cups can be ordered in  $3 \times 2 = 6$  ways, there are 4 ways for her to choose the 3 correctly.



# Choosing 3

- ▶ To get exactly 3 right, and, hence, 1 wrong, she would first have to choose 3 from the 4 correct ones.
  - ▶ She can do this  $4 \times 3 \times 2 = 24$  ways with order.
  - ▶ Since 3 cups can be ordered in  $3 \times 2 = 6$  ways, there are 4 ways for her to choose the 3 correctly.
- ▶ Since she can now choose the 1 incorrect cup 4 ways, there are a total of  $4 \times 4 = 16$  ways for her to choose exactly 3 right and 1 wrong.

## Choosing 3

- ▶ To get exactly 3 right, and, hence, 1 wrong, she would first have to choose 3 from the 4 correct ones.
  - ▶ She can do this  $4 \times 3 \times 2 = 24$  ways with order.
  - ▶ Since 3 cups can be ordered in  $3 \times 2 = 6$  ways, there are 4 ways for her to choose the 3 correctly.
- ▶ Since she can now choose the 1 incorrect cup 4 ways, there are a total of  $4 \times 4 = 16$  ways for her to choose exactly 3 right and 1 wrong.
- ▶ Hence the probability that she chooses exactly 3 correctly is  $\frac{16}{70} = \frac{8}{35}$ .

# Statistical significance

- ▶ Suppose the lady correctly identifies all 4 cups.

# Statistical significance

- ▶ Suppose the lady correctly identifies all 4 cups.
- ▶ **Conclusion**

# Statistical significance

- ▶ Suppose the lady correctly identifies all 4 cups.
- ▶ Conclusion
  - ▶ Either she has no ability, and has chosen the correct 4 cups purely by chance, or

# Statistical significance

- ▶ Suppose the lady correctly identifies all 4 cups.
- ▶ Conclusion
  - ▶ Either she has no ability, and has chosen the correct 4 cups purely by chance, or
  - ▶ she has the discriminatory ability she claims.

# Statistical significance

- ▶ Suppose the lady correctly identifies all 4 cups.
- ▶ Conclusion
  - ▶ Either she has no ability, and has chosen the correct 4 cups purely by chance, or
  - ▶ she has the discriminatory ability she claims.
- ▶ Since choosing correctly is highly unlikely in the first case (one chance in seventy), we decide for the second.

# Statistical significance

- ▶ Suppose the lady correctly identifies all 4 cups.
- ▶ Conclusion
  - ▶ Either she has no ability, and has chosen the correct 4 cups purely by chance, or
  - ▶ she has the discriminatory ability she claims.
- ▶ Since choosing correctly is highly unlikely in the first case (one chance in seventy), we decide for the second.
- ▶ Note: if she got 3 correct and 1 wrong, this would be evidence for her ability, but not persuasive evidence since the chance of getting 3 or more correct is  $\frac{17}{70} = 0.2429$ .



# Statistical significance

- ▶ Suppose the lady correctly identifies all 4 cups.
- ▶ Conclusion
  - ▶ Either she has no ability, and has chosen the correct 4 cups purely by chance, or
  - ▶ she has the discriminatory ability she claims.
- ▶ Since choosing correctly is highly unlikely in the first case (one chance in seventy), we decide for the second.
- ▶ Note: if she got 3 correct and 1 wrong, this would be evidence for her ability, but not persuasive evidence since the chance of getting 3 or more correct is  $\frac{17}{70} = 0.2429$ .
- ▶ Note: typically, a result is considered statistically significant if the probability of its occurrence is less than 0.05, that is, less than 1 out of 20.

# The null hypothesis

- ▶ The *null hypothesis* is a specific description of a possible state of nature.

# The null hypothesis

- ▶ The *null hypothesis* is a specific description of a possible state of nature.
- ▶ In this example, the null hypothesis is the hypothesis that the lady has no special ability to discriminate between the cups of tea.

# The null hypothesis

- ▶ The *null hypothesis* is a specific description of a possible state of nature.
- ▶ In this example, the null hypothesis is the hypothesis that the lady has no special ability to discriminate between the cups of tea.
- ▶ Note: we can never prove the null hypothesis, but the data may provide evidence to reject it.

# The null hypothesis

- ▶ The *null hypothesis* is a specific description of a possible state of nature.
- ▶ In this example, the null hypothesis is the hypothesis that the lady has no special ability to discriminate between the cups of tea.
- ▶ Note: we can never prove the null hypothesis, but the data may provide evidence to reject it.
- ▶ Note: in most situations, rejecting the null hypothesis is what we hope to do.

# Randomization

- ▶ It is randomization which allows us to make the probability calculations which reveal whether the data are significant or not.

# Randomization

- ▶ It is randomization which allows us to make the probability calculations which reveal whether the data are significant or not.
- ▶ Randomization takes care of all the possible causes for which we cannot control.

# Problems

1. Suppose the lady samples 10 cups of tea, among which 5 had the tea poured first and 5 had the milk poured first.
  - a. What is the probability she correctly identifies all five cups which had the tea poured first?
  - b. What is the probability she correctly identifies exactly four of the cups which had the tea poured first?
  - c. What is the probability she correctly identifies four or more of the cups which had the tea poured first?
  - d. Would we reject the null hypothesis if she correctly identified exactly four of the cups which had the tea poured first?